| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.               | Applicant(s)              |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
|  | 10/614,461                    | LI, ZHIXIN                |
|  | Examiner                      | Art Unit                  |
|  | Alison K. Pickard             | 3673                      |
| All Participants: Status of Application:   |                               |                           |
| (1) <u>Alison K. Pickard</u> .   | (3)                           |                           |
| (2) Robert Deleault.   | (4)                           |                           |
| Date of Interview: 22 February 2006  | Time:                         |                           |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   |                               |                           |
| Part I.  |                               |                           |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a  |                               |                           |
| Claims discussed: all of record  |                               |                           |
| Prior art documents discussed:  n/a  |                               |                           |
| Part II.   |                               |                           |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet   | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS        | S DISCUSSED:              |
| Part III.  |                               |                           |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. |                               |                           |
|  |                               |                           |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)   |                               |                           |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant  | Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – ir appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to suggest language to define over the prior art of record (particularly JP '377). The language clarifies that the flat tops of the projections are radially aligned so that the flat tops face the gap and magnetic fluid in the gap. The suggestion was approved and entered via examiner's amendment.